



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/259,389	02/26/1999		KATIA GEORGOPOULOS	10287/043001	5245
26161	7590	04/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC		ON PC	WOITACH, JOSEPH T		
225 FRANK BOSTON, N)		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•	,			1632	
				D. TC	-

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	₩\
09/259,389	GEORGOPOULOS ET	ΓAL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph T. Woitach	1632	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2,5,10,11,13,21 and 25-38. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 39-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🗍 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is noted that the claim amendments have not been entered. With respect to Hahm et al. In response to arguments that the declaration of Katia Georopoulos filed 10/10/2000 obviates the 102(a) rejection, the declaration only indicates that the invention of the murine Helios cDNA was made. This insufficient nor commensurate in scope to antedate the sequences that hybridize as disclosed for example in figure 1 or all the sequences of figure 3 of Hahm et al.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: ____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 04122005

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claim 45 depends on itself. Further, new claims 44 and 45 appear to be new matter. While it is noted that the specification supports the recitation of a specific size fragment, the specification only supports fragments of specific SEQ ID NOs, not sequences that hybridize (page 5). The proposed claims also encompass sequences that do not necessarily hybridize such as those found in chimeric sequences. Finally, claim 39 appears incomplete because it does not include elements from which the sequence is expressed.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/259,389	GEORGOPOULOS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph T. Woitach	1632		

Amenament (37 CFR 1.121)	- CAUTHING	Artonic	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Joseph T. Woitach	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
The amendment document filed on is considered 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to	•		•
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings.	3E NON-COMPLI	ANT:
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	CFR 1.72.		
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identifie "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing amended figures, without ma C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elimin	nated. Replaceme	ent drawings
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is B. The listing of claims does not include t C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following s (Previously presented), (New), (Not er 	he text of all pending claims (included the proper status identifier, and steet the status of every claim must status identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indiv st be indicated afte ently amended), (awn-currently ame	ridual status er its claim (Canceled), ended).

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.

☑ E. Other: claim 39 does not include old and new text being amended with appropriate editor marks.

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

<u>Extensions of time</u> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.